

Agenda Memorandum Historic Preservation Commission

DATE:

SUBJECT:

Certificate of Appropriateness Request: Applicant: Location of Subject Property: <u>PINs:</u> Staff Report Prepared by: July 12, 2023

H-14-23 Forest Hill United Methodist Church 265 Union St. N 5621-60-3553 Autumn C. James, Planning & Development Manager

BACKGROUND

- The subject property at 265 Union Street N is designated as a "Pivotal" structure in the North Union Street Historic District (ca. 1889, remodeled and enlarged 1923) (Exhibit A).
- "Impressive brick Gothic style church erected for the first congregation established for textile mill workers in Concord. Church consists of steeply pitched, gable-front nave; a three-stage tower with a steeple that is built into but projects from the nave; and a three-and-a-half-story education wing, erected in 1923, which is set perpendicular to the nave along the rear of the church's west side. The church is laid up in 1:5 common bond and has handsome corbeled cornices along the front and sides of the nave and on the tower. The steep pitch of the nave's roof, the lancet-arched window openings, and the buttresses flanking the nave and tower combine to give the design its Gothic flavor. The church has fine stained glass and much of its' original interior detail." (Exhibit A).

DISCUSSION

On June 21, 2023, Rev. Mandy Jones applied for a Certificate of Appropriateness under Concord Development Ordinance (CDO) §9.8 to remove two (2) Bradford Pear trees in the landscape island in the parking lot of Forest Hill United Methodist Church (Exhibit B).

Tree #1 (Bradford Pear / Pyrus calleryana) was assessed by City Arborist, Bill Leake, on June 21, 2023, and was assigned a Risk Rating of 4. As noted, "This mature pear tree has weak branch unions as is typical of the species and a small area of decay at the first branch union." The assessment also noted that if removed, a similar sized replacement tree species would be appropriate in the same general location, or an alternate location. DBH 21" Height 20' Spread 35'(Exhibit D).

Tree #2 (Bradford Pear / Pyrus calleryana) was assessed by City Arborist, Bill Leake, on June 21, 2023, and was assigned a Risk Rating of 4. As noted, "This mature pear tree has weak branch unions as is typical of the species. The tree is showing signs of decline due to small soil volume and high surface temperatures typical of parking lot islands." The assessment also noted that if removed, a similar sized replacement tree species would be appropriate in an alternate location. DBH 16" Height 15' Spread 20'(Exhibit D).

Both of the trees requested for removal are ordinance required trees (Concord Development Ordinance Article 11.6 Parking Lot Yards) and will need to be replaced. There is concern from the applicant that replacing the two trees in the same parking lot landscape island area would allow the roots to continue ruining the parking lot asphalt. The City Arborist, Bill Leake, has noted that these trees can be located at an alternate location on the property.

Historic Preservation Commission Case # H-14-23

ATTACHMENTS

Exhibit A: National Register of Historic Places Inventory Exhibit B: Application for Certificate of Appropriateness Exhibit C: Subject Property Map Exhibit D: Tree Risk Assessment Form

HISTORIC HANDBOOK DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

Approval Requirement Needs Table: Trees

Removal of healthy trees or pruning of limbs over six (6) inches in diameter in any location on the property requires Commission Hearing and Approval.

Chapter 5 – Section 8: Landscaping and Trees

- One of the most visible features of the Districts is the landscaping and the associated tree canopy. Activities which negatively impact any aspect of the landscape should be avoided, such as the removal of healthy trees and mature shrubs.
- Tree health may be decided upon by the acquisition of a Tree Hazard Evaluation Form issued by the City Arborist or a report submitted by a certified arborist. Healthy trees are trees that have a hazard rating of four (4) or lower.
- Removal of healthy trees over the size of 6 inches in diameter (measured 4 feet above ground) or pruning of healthy tree limbs over 6 inches in diameter requires Historic Preservation Commission review and approval.
- All trees that are removed shall be replaced with a tree of similar species in an appropriate location unless no suitable location exists on the subject site. Trees removed within street view must also have the stumps removed below ground level.

Design Standards: Landscaping and Trees

• Trees which are removed shall be replaced by a species which, upon maturity, is similar in scale to the removed specimen. For example, canopy trees shall be replaced with canopy trees, and understory trees with understory trees.

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. The Historic Preservation Commission should consider the circumstances of this application for a Certificate of Appropriateness relative to the <u>North and South Union Street Historic Districts</u> <u>Handbook and Guidelines</u> and act accordingly.
- 2. If approved, applicant(s) should be informed of the following:
 - City staff and Commission will make periodic on-site visits to ensure the project is completed as approved.
 - Completed project will be photographed to update the historic properties survey.

United States Department of the Interior National Park Service	For NPS use only
National Register of Historic Places Inventory-Nomination Form	S - received date entered
Continuation sheet Item number	Page

Inventory List – North Union Street #7 _____ 40

front facade, but has simplified detail typical of postwar modernism. Because the church echoes the form of other churches in the district but does not have pseudo-historical detail, it is not considered an intrusion. Adjoining the church on the north side is a two-story, brick education wing of less successful design.

59. Vacant Lot S.W. corner of North Union Street and Buffalo Avenue, N.W. VL

Vacant lot formerly the site of W.R. Odell residence, a fine Queen Anne style residence erected about 1888 and destroyed in the 1960s. W.R. Odell (1855-1938), the son of preeminent industrialist John Milton-Odell (whosehouse still stands across the street) played an important role in his father's textile enterprises, served in the North Carolina Senate, and was chairman of the Cabarrus County School Board for 25 years. For both historic and architectural reasons the demolition of Odell's house is the most serious loss the district has suffered. A one-story, brick, gable-roofed outbuilding still stands on the lot.

60. Forest Hill Methodist Church Education Building 41 Buffalo Avenue, N.W. ca. 1965 I

One-and-two-story brick International style school building. This unobtrusive site on the side of a hill and the fact that the building is surrounded on three sides by lawn make this building less intrusive than it might otherwise be.

1

61. Forest Hill Methodist Church 41 Buffalo Avenue, N.W. 1889, remodeled and enlarged 1923 P

> Impressive brick Gothic style church erected for the first congregation established for textile mill workers in Concord. Church consists of steeply pitched, gable-front nave; a three-stage tower with a steeple that is built into but projects from the nave; and a three-and-a-half-story

Continuation sheet

5

United States Department of the Interior **National Park Service**

National Register of Historic Places Inventory-Nomination Form

(a.)-(.))(a)-)

Receivers use only

Item number

Page

Historic District, Concord

education wing, erected in 1923, which is set perpendicular to the nave along the rear of the church's west side. The church is laid up in 1:5 common bond and has handsome corbeled cornices along the front and sides of the nave and on the tower. The steep pitch of the nave's roof, the lancet-arched window openings, and the buttresses flanking the nave and tower combine to give the design its Gothic flavor. The church has fine stained glass and much of its original interior detail.

62. Associate Reformed Presbyterian Manse 16 March Avenue, N.W. ca. 1925 F

> One-and-a-half-story, frame bungalow with semi-engaged, full-facade porch with slightly tapered wood columns on brick plinths. House victimized by unsympathetic application of vinyl siding which resulted in removal of original trim.

Reverend John S. Heilig House 63. 22 Marsh Avenue, N.W. ca. 1870 С

> Frame house following traditional two-story, single-pile piedmont form with Greek Revival details, one of the oldest houses in the district. House has symmetrical, three-bay facade, 6/6 sash windows, center hall plan typical of Greek-influenced vernacular houses of the mid-nineteenth century. House retains exterior end chimneys that are also typical of house type. Full-facade porch with square-in-section columns is this twentieth century replacement of earlier full-facade porch. Notable late nineteenth century addition to house is bay window in center of second story facade, with cut-out awning and windows framed by molded colonnettes. House covered with asbestos siding but this did not result in removal of trim.

House originally stood on North Union Street. It was purchased by F.A. Archibald before 1900, and he moved the house to its present location about 1908 when he erected his impressive Colonial Revival residence at 183 North Union Street (see #49).



Application for Certificate of Appropriateness

AN INCOMPLETE APPLICATION WILL NOT BE PLACED ON THE AGENDA UNTIL ALL OF THE REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS AND/OR ITEMS LISTED ON PAGE 2 ARE SUBMITTED.

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Name: Forest Hill Unit	ed Methodist	Rev. M	andy Jone	3	
Address: 265 Union St	eet North		1		
City: Concord	State: <u>NC</u>	Zip Code: <u>28025</u>	Telephone:	704-782-1109	
OWNER INFORMAT	ION				
Name: Same as above					
Address:					
City:	State:	_ Zip Code:	Telephone:		
SUBJECT PROPERT	Y				
Street Address: Same as	above			P.I.N. # <u>5621-60-3553</u>	
Area (acres or square fe	et): 3.07 acres	Current Zoni	ng: OI-CU	Land Use: MUAC	

Staff Use Only:						
Application Received by:	Date:	, 20				
Fee: \$20.00 Received by:	Date:	, 20				
The application fee is nonrefundable.						



Application for Certificate of Appropriateness

General Requirements

The Unified Development Ordinance imposes the following rules, regulations and requirements on requests for Certificates of Appropriateness. The applicant must, with reference to the attached plans, demonstrate how the proposed use satisfies these requirements:

1. Project or Type of Work to be Done: <u>Remove two Bradford Pear trees that are heaving the curb and pavement in the</u> front parking lot closest to Union Street and Buffalo Avenue.

2. Detailed specifications of the project (type of siding, windows, doors, height/style of fence, color, etc.): Cut, dig up the stumps and haul away.

Required Attachments/Submittals

- 1. Scaled site plan, if additions or accessory structures are proposed, on letter, legal or ledger paper. Larger sized copies will be accepted. Digital copies are preferred.
- 2. Detailed written description of the project.
- 3. Photographs of site, project, or existing structures from a "before" perspective.
- 4. Drawings, sketches, renderings, elevations, or photographs necessary to present an illustration of the project from an "after" perspective if applicable.
- 5. Samples of windows, doors, brick, siding, etc. must be submitted with application.
- 6. Detailed list of materials that will be used to complete the project.

Certification

(1) I hereby acknowledge and say that the information contained herein and herewith is true and that this application shall not be scheduled for official consideration until all of the required contents are submitted in proper form to the City of Concord Development Services Department. (2) I understand that City staff and/or members of the Historic Preservation Commission may make routine visits to the site to ensure that work being done is the same as the work that was approved. (3) I understand that photographs of the completed project will be made to update the City's historic districts inventory database.

Date

ignature of Owner/A





H-14-23 265 Union St N

PIN: 5621-60-3553





Source: City of Concord Planning Department

Disclaimer

These maps and products are designed for general reference only and data contained herein is subject to change. The City Of Concord, it's employees or agents make no warranty of merchantability or fitness for any purpose, expressed or implied, and assume no legal responsibility for the information contained therein. Data used is from multiple sources with various scales and accuracy. Additional research such as field surveys may be necessary to determine actual conditions.





Site/Address: 265 Union ST N

Map/Location: Front parking lot (East) near building entrance

Owner: public: _____ private: ____X unknown: _____ other: ____

Date: 06/21/23 Inspector: Bill Leake

Date of last inspection:

TREE CHARACTERISTICS _____

Tree #: 1 Bradford Pear (Pyrus calleryana)

DBH: 21" # of trunks: 1 Height: 20" Spread: 35'

Form: \Box generally symmetric \boxtimes minor asymmetry \Box major asymmetry \Box stump sprout \Box stag-headed

Crown class:
dominant
co-dominant
intermediate
suppressed

Live crown ratio: 95% Age class: □ young □ semi-mature ⊠ mature □ over-mature/senescent

 Pruning history:
 □ crown cleaned
 □ excessively thinned
 □ topped
 ⊠ crown raised
 □ pollarded
 □ crown reduced
 □ flush cuts

 □ cabled/braced
 □ none
 □ multiple pruning events
 Approx. dates:

Special Value: 🗆 specimen 🛛 heritage/historic 🗆 wildlife 🗆 unusual 🗆 street tree 🗆 screen 🗆 shade 🗆 indigenous 🖾 protected by gov. agency

TREE HEALTH ______

Foliage color. 🛛 normal	\Box chlorotic \Box	necrotic Epic	cormics; 🗆		Growth obstructions:
Foliage density:	⊠normal	□sparse Le	eaf size: 🖂 n	ormal 🗆 small	\Box stakes \Box wire/ties \Box signs \Box cables
Annual shoot growth:	\Box excellent \boxtimes	🛾 average 🗆 p	oor 🗆 none	Twig Dieback: 🛛	$oxtimes$ curb/pavement \Box guards
Woundwood :	\Box excellent \boxtimes	🛾 average 🗆 fai	iir 🗆 poor		
Vigor class:	\Box excellent \boxtimes	∃average 🗆 fai	iir 🗆 poor		

Major pests/diseases:

SITE CONDITIONS

TARGET_

 Use Under Tree:
 building
 parking
 traffic
 pedestrian
 recreation
 landscape
 hardscape
 small features
 utility lines

 Can target be moved? NO
 Can use be restricted? NO

 Occupancy:
 occasional use
 intermittent use
 frequent use
 constant use

RISK RATING:

1	1	2	4
ailure	+ Size +	Target	= Risk
Potential	of part	Rating	Rating

If approved for removal, the replacement tree species and location shall be listed on the certificate of appropriateness.

TREE DEFECTS

ROOT DEFECTS:
Suspect root rot: NO Mushroom/conk/bracket present: NO ID:
Exposed roots: Severe moderate low Undermined: severe moderate low
Root pruned: distance from trunk Root area affected: Buttress wounded: 🗆 When:
Restricted root area: \Box severe \boxtimes moderate \Box low Potential for root failure: \Box severe \Box moderate \boxtimes low
LEAN: 5 deg. from vertical 🛛 natural 🖓 unnatural 🖓 self-corrected 🖓 Soil heaving:
Decay in plane of lean: Roots broken: Soil cracking:
Compounding factors: Lean severity: □ severe⊠ moderate □ low

Concern Areas: Indicate presence of individual structural issues and rate their severity (S = severe, M = moderate, L = low)

DEFECT	ROOT CROWN	TRUNK	SCAFFOLDS	BRANCHES
Poor taper				
Bow, sweep			S	
Codominants/forks			S	S
Multiple attachments				М
Included bark			М	
Excessive end weight			М	
Cracks/splits				
Hangers				
Girdling				
Wounds/seam				
Decay		L		
Cavity				
Conks/mushrooms/bracket				
Bleeding/sap flow				
Loose/cracked bark				
Nesting hole/bee hive				
Deadwood/stubs				
Borers/termites/ants				
Cankers/galls/burls				
Previous failure				
RISK RATING				

Tree part most likely to fail in the next six months: Branches

Failure potential: 1 - low: 2 - medium; 3 - high; 4 - severe	Size of part: 0 -0"-3" 1 -3"-6"	2 -6"-18"	3 -18"-30"	4 ->30"
Target rating: 0 - no target 1 - occasional use 2 - intermittent use 3 - frequent use 4	4 - constant use			

Maintenance Recommendations

Failure Potential	+ Size of Part + 1	Farget Rating =	= Hazard Rating	□ none
1		2	4	🗆 thin 🛛

 \Box remove defective part \boxtimes reduce end weight \Box crown clean

 \Box raise canopy \boxtimes crown reduce \boxtimes restructure \Box cable/brace

Inspect further \Box root crown \Box decay \Box aerial \Box monitor

□ **Remove tree** ⊠ If removed, a similar sized tree species would be appropriate in same general location

 $\boxtimes\,$ If removed, alternate tree replacement locations are available

Effect on adjacent trees: \square none \square evaluate

Notification: 🖂 🤉	owner 🗆 manager	governing agency	Date: 06/21/23
-------------------	-----------------	------------------	----------------

COMMENTS

This mature pear tree has weak branch unions as is typical of the species and a small area of decay at the first branch union.

Bill Leake



Site/Address: 265 Union ST N

Map/Location: Front parking lot (East) near Buffalo Ave.

Owner: public: _____ private: ___X__ unknown: _____ other: _____

Date: 06/21/23 Inspector: Bill Leake

Date of last inspection:

TREE CHARACTERISTICS _____

Tree #: 2 Bradford Pear (Pyrus calleryana)

DBH: 16" # of trunks: 1 Height: 15" Spread: 20'

Form: \boxtimes generally symmetric \square minor asymmetry \square major asymmetry \square stump sprout \square stag-headed

Crown class:
dominant
co-dominant
intermediate
suppressed

Live crown ratio: 80% **Age class**: □ young ⊠ semi-mature □ mature □ over-mature/senescent

 Pruning history:
 □ crown cleaned
 □ excessively thinned
 □ topped
 ⊠ crown raised
 □ pollarded
 □ crown reduced
 □ flush cuts

 □ cabled/braced
 □ none
 □ multiple pruning events
 Approx. dates:

Special Value: 🗆 specimen 🛛 heritage/historic 🗆 wildlife 🗆 unusual 🗆 street tree 🗆 screen 🗆 shade 🗆 indigenous 🖾 protected by gov. agency

TREE HEALTH ______

Foliage color. 🛛 norma	l 🗆 chlorotic	\Box necrotic	Epicormics; 🗆		Growth obstructions	s:
Foliage density:	□normal	⊠sparse	Leaf size: 🗆 r	ormal 🛛 small	🗆 stakes 🗆 wire/ties 🗆 sig	gns 🗆 cables
Annual shoot growth:	□ excellent	: 🗆 average	\boxtimes poor \square none	Twig Dieback: 🛛	🛛 curb/pavement 🗆 g	juards
Woundwood :	□ excellent	: 🛛 average [🗆 fair 🗆 poor			
Vigor class:	□ excellent	: 🗆 average [🛛 fair 🗆 poor			

Major pests/diseases: Slight decline of the upper crown

SITE CONDITIONS ____

TARGET_

 Use Under Tree:
 building ≥ parking □ traffic ≥ pedestrian □ recreation □ landscape □ hardscape □ small features □ utility lines

 Can target be moved? NO
 Can use be restricted? NO

 Occupancy:
 □ occasional use ≥ intermittent use
 □ frequent use □ constant use

RISK RATING:

1	1	2	4
Failure	+ Size +	Target	= Risk
Potential	of part	Rating	Rating

If approved for removal, the replacement tree species and location shall be listed on the certificate of appropriateness.

TREE DEFECTS _____

ROOT DEFECTS:			
Suspect root rot: NO Mushroom/conk/bracket present: NO ID:			
Exposed roots: Severe moderate low Undermined: severe moderate low			
Root pruned: distance from trunk Root area affected: Buttress wounded: 🗆 When:			
Restricted root area: 🛛 severe 🗆 moderate 🗆 low 🔹 Potential for root failure: 🗆 severe 🗆 moderate 🖂 low			
LEAN: 0 deg. from vertical \square natural \square unnatural \square self-corrected \square Soil heaving:			
Decay in plane of lean: Roots broken: Soil cracking:			
Compounding factors: Lean severity: □ severe□ moderate ⊠ low			

Concern Areas: Indicate presence of individual structural issues and rate their severity (S = severe, M = moderate, L = low)

DEFECT	ROOT CROWN	TRUNK	SCAFFOLDS	BRANCHES
Poor taper				
Bow, sweep				
Codominants/forks			S	М
Multiple attachments				L
Included bark			М	
Excessive end weight				
Cracks/splits				
Hangers				
Girdling				
Wounds/seam				
Decay		L		
Cavity				
Conks/mushrooms/bracket				
Bleeding/sap flow				
Loose/cracked bark				
Nesting hole/bee hive				
Deadwood/stubs				L
Borers/termites/ants				
Cankers/galls/burls				
Previous failure				

RISK RATING _____

Tree part most likely to fail in the next six months: Branches

Failure potential: 1 - low: 2 - medium; 3 - high; 4 - severe	Size of part: 0 -0"-3" 1 -3"-6"	2 -6"-18"	3 -18"-30"	4 ->30"
Target rating: 0 - no target 1 - occasional use 2 - intermittent use 3 - frequent use 4	4 - constant use			

Maintenance Recommendations

Failure Potential	+ Size of Part -	+ Target Rating	= Hazard Rating	
1	1	2	4	🗆 thii

 \Box none \Box remove defective part \boxtimes reduce end weight \Box crown clean

 \Box thin \Box raise canopy \boxtimes crown reduce \boxtimes restructure \Box cable/brace

Inspect further \Box root crown \Box decay \Box aerial \Box monitor

 \Box Remove tree \Box If removed, a similar sized tree species would be appropriate in same general location

 $\boxtimes\,$ If removed, alternate tree replacement locations are available

Effect on adjacent trees: \square none \square evaluate

Notification:	🛛 owner	□ manager	⊠ governing	agency	Date: 06/21/23
---------------	---------	-----------	-------------	--------	----------------

COMMENTS

This mature pear tree has weak branch unions as is typical of the species. The tree is showing signs of decline due to small soil volume and high surface temperatures typical of parking lot islands.